### Relativistic aspects of Gaia mission

#### S.A.Klioner

Lohrmann-Observatorium, Technische Universität Dresden Institute of Applied Astronomy, RAS



Journées 2014, Pulkovo Observatory, St.Petersburg, Russia, 22 September 2014

#### Gaia telescope

Astrometry, photometry of all 10<sup>9</sup> sources up to 20 mag (+spectroscopy)



#### Gaia: payload ready for launch (2013)



#### Gaia launch: 19 December 2013



#### The first "historical" image from Gaia



Gaia not spinning, not focused

Image reconstruction C. Crowley (ESA Gaia-SOC)

#### "First light"



A random bright star, before focusing

Sadalmelik ("Luck of the king") = Alpha Aquarii

SpT = G2 lb

V = 2.94 mag

2.85 s integration time

#### First released PR image: a stellar cluster



#### First released PR image: a stellar cluster



Comparable telescopes (1.3 m): from the Earth and from space

Image courtesy Łukasz Wyrzykowski

#### A galaxy...



M84

again in 2.85 sec

ALL THESE IMAGES ARE TAKEN FOR TEST PURPOSES

Gaia does not take images in normal operations!

#### The first discovery: 12.09.2014 a supernova Gaia2014aaa

Increase of the flux from a galaxy: hand-picked from the standard science alert pipeline







#### Schedule



#### Gaia: goals





#### Major components of the model

- 1. IAU 2000 relativistic framework (Soffel et al. 2003) form the basis for the Gaia data processing
- 2. Relativistic model for astrometric observations (Klioner 2003, 2004)
  - Lorentz transformations for aberration
  - Deflection of light: monopole (post- und post-post-Newtonian), quadrupole, gravitomagnetic terms up to 17 bodies routinely, more if needed
  - BCRS definitions of parallax, proper motion, etc.
  - Relativistic definition of observables and the attitude
- 3. Relativistic model for the synchronization of Gaia atomic clock and TCB
  - GCRS, BCRS, Gaia proper time, relativistic propagation, ...

Consistency of all aspects of the mission should be monitored

#### Clock calibration: observational data (simplified)



#### High-accuracy clock model



#### Gaia clock behaviour



After taking the relativity into account:

we see the clock behaviour as expected from laboratory experiments



Each relativistic effect used in the models can be used to test GR



# Gaia sensitivity to the gravitational light deflection due to the Sun



about 80 observations for each of 10<sup>9</sup> sources...

## Accuracy of the quadrupole deflection test as function of two free parameters of the Gaia scanning law

quadrupole S/N from observations points= 3240000 max= 9.918e+00 min= 5.154e-01 mean= 3.447e+00 median= 3.264e+00 st.dev= 1.341e+00



initial precession phase (deg)

#### Optimization does bring a major improvement

quadrupole s/n from observations points= 3240000 max= 9.918e+00 min= 5.154e-01 mean= 3.447e+00 median= 3.264e+00 st.dev= 1.341e+00



#### GR-relevant tests with Gaia: solar system and beyond

- 1. Monopole light deflection
- 2. Quadrupole light deflection (a few sigmas detection)
- 3. Local Lorentz Invariance a big (and expensive <sup>(i)</sup>) "Michelson-Morley"
- 4. post-Newtonian equations of motion with asteroids
- 5. acceleration of the solar system
- 6. masses of black holes and neutron stars in binaries
- 7. ultra-low frequency gravitational waves: v < 6 nHz
- 8. gravitational waves from quasi-stationary sources (binary supermassive black holes): 6 nHz < v < 0.2 mHz</li>

## **Backup slides**

#### Gaia: hardware problems

Gradual throughput decrease

reason: unexpected water in the spacecraft which slowly evaporates and condenses as ice on the (cold) mirrors remedy: periodic (once per several months) heating of the payload consequences: about 1 month of additional dead time per year

• Excessive stray light in some parts of the focal plane

 reason: not fully understood; small manufacturing errors of the sunshield?, ...
remedy: none; might become better after decontamination consequences: lower accuracies for stars G>16

• Larger variations of the BA (basic angle) are measured by the BA monitor

reason: unknown remedy: BA monitor; studies ongoing consequences: hopefully none, but...

#### Gaia: expected astrometric accuracy

http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/science-performance

End-of-mission parallax:

|                        | B1V   | G2V   | M6V   |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| V-I <sub>C</sub> [mag] | -0.22                                       | 0.75  | 3.85  |
| Bright stars           | 5-14 µas ( <mark>3 mag</mark> < V < 12 mag) | 5-14 µas ( <mark>3 mag</mark> < V < 12 mag) | 5-14 µas ( <mark>5 mag</mark> < V < 14 mag) |
| V = 15 mag             | 26 µas                                      | 24 µas                                      | 9 µas                                       |
| V = 20 mag             | 600 µas                                     | 540 µas                                     | 130 µas                                     |

Other parameters:

| σ0                   | = | 0.743 · σ <sub>Π</sub> ; |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------|
| σ <sub>a</sub> *     | = | 0.787 · σ <sub>Π</sub> ; |
| σδ                   | = | 0.699 · σ <sub>Π</sub> ; |
| σµ                   | = | 0.526 · σ <sub>Π</sub> ; |
| σ <sub>µa</sub> *    | = | 0.556 · σ <sub>Π</sub> ; |
| $\sigma_{\mu\delta}$ | = | 0.496 · σ <sub>Π</sub> , |

The predicted errors vary over the sky...